UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America)					
v. Timothy Gerome McCullers		Cube 110.	5:94-CF			
Date of Previous Judgment: September 5, 199 (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)))	USM No: Defendant's A	15233-0 attorney	Thomas P. M	cNamara	
Order Regarding Motion for Sen	itence Red	uction Pursu	uant to 1	18 U.S.C. § 35	682(c)(2)	
Upon motion of thedefendant		_ under 18 U	J.S.C. §	3582(c)(2) for	r a reduction in	the term
of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sen by the United States Sentencing Commission pu motion,	_	•	-	•		
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:						
☐ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the	ne defendar	nt's previously	y impos	ed sentence of	imprisonment	(as reflected
in the last judgment issu	ied) of	month	ıs is red i	uced to m	onths.	
If the amount of time the defendant has already ser sentence, subject to an additional period of up to te						
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELIN	NE RANGI	E (Prior to Any	Departur	es)		
Previous Offense Level:		Amended C	Offense l	Level:		
Criminal History Category:		Criminal H	istory C	ategory:		
Previous Guideline Range: to	_ months	Amended C	Guidelin	e Range:	to	months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED G	UIDELIN	E RANGE				
The reduced sentence is within the amended gu	ideline rang	ge.				
The previous term of imprisonment imposed was sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 guideline range.						
Other (explain):					÷	

DEFENDANT: Timothy Gerome McCullers

CASE NUMBER: 5:94-CR-97-6F

Judgment - Page 2 of 2

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

After reviewing all relevant factors, the court finds that Section 1B1.10, Application Note 4(A), Exclusion Relating to Revocation, provides that only a term of imprisonment imposed as part of the original sentence is authorized to be reduced under this section. A reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed upon revocation of supervised release is not authorized. The sentence for which the defendant is currently incarcerated results from a revocation of supervised release. Therefore, the defendant is not eligible for a reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) and Amendment 706 as amended, and the defendant's motion is denied.

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated IT IS SO ORDERED.	shall remain in effect.
Order Date: 9/1/10	James C. La Judge's signature
Effective Date: (if different from order date)	James C. Fox, Senior U.S. District Judge Printed name and title

The state of the s